

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

### Texts

1. Aiyer and Mitter's Law of Bails  
Allahabad, Law Book Company, 1959.
2. Anthony & Berryman's Magistrate Court Guide,  
Butterworths, 1970.
3. Devlin, Patrick, The Criminal Process in England,  
London, 24 edn., 1961.
4. Frank, Comparative Constitutional Process: Case  
And Materials.
5. Friedland, M.L., Detention Before Trial, University  
of Toronto Press, 1965.
6. Goldfarb, Ronald, Ransom, New York, Harper & Row, 1965.
7. Jackson, R.M., Enforcing The Law, Pelican, 1971.
8. Mallal's Criminal Procedure Code 4th Edn.,  
M.L.J., Singapore 1957.
9. Soonavala, R.K., The Law of Bails, Bombay, 1968.

### Articles

1. Ares, Rankin & Sturz, The Manhattan Bail Project: An  
Interim Report on the Use of Pre-Trial Parole, 1963,  
New York U. Law Rev.

2. Bogomoliny & Sonnenreich, Bail Reform Act of 1960 (1969), 11 Arizona L.R. p. 201.
3. Qasem, Bail and Personal Liberty, 30 Can. Bar Rev. p. 379.
4. Smith, T.B., Bail Before Trial, Reflections of a Scottish Lawyer 1960, U. of Pen. L. Rev., p. 109.
5. Wald, Patricia, Pre-Trial Detention and Ultimate Freedom. A Statistical Study, 39 New York, U. Law Rev. 1964, pp. 631 - 640.

#### Statutes

1. Criminal Procedure Code, F.M.S. Cap.6
2. Penal Code, F.M.S. Cap.45
3. Dangerous Drugs Ordinance, F.M. Ord. No.3/52
4. Prevention of Corruption Act; Act 57/61 (Revised 1971)
5. Corrosive and Explosive Substances and Offensive Weapons Ordinance F.M. Ord. No.43 of 1958 (Revised 1965)
6. Customs Act - Act 62/67
7. Excise Act - F.M. Act No. 34/61
8. Sales Tax Act, Act 64
9. Road Traffic Ordinance No.49/58 (Reprinted NO.5/70)
10. Subordinate Courts Act, 1948  
Act of Parliament No.92 (Revised 1972)
11. The Federal Constitution
12. Courts of Judicature Act 1964, Act 91 (Revised 1972)
13. Firearms (Increased Penalties) Act; Act 57
14. Contracts Act, Act 136 (Revised 1974)

Other Materials

1. Home Office Research Unit Report, "Time Spent Awaiting Trial" (London), 1960
2. Justice, New Law Journal, June 16, 1966, p.954
3. Chandra Mohan, Bail in Singapore, L.L.M. Thesis University of Singapore
4. Report of I.C.J., New Delhi, 1959

## LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>	<u>Page</u>
4.1 Bail Setting And The Ability To Find Sureties Of Different Amounts According To Charge.	71
4.2 Bail Amounts For The Offence Of Possession of Heroin Under s.12(3) of the Dangerous Drugs Ord. where the quantity is less than 5 tubes or less than 1 gramme.	72
4.3 Bail Amounts for the Offence of Possession of Heroin Under s.6(3) of the Dangerous Drugs Regus. where the quantities involved was less than 5 tubes or less than 1 gramme.	73
4.4 Bail Amounts for the Offence of Possession of Cannabis where the quantity is 5 rolls or less.	73
4.5 Bail Amounts for the Offence of Possession of Heroin and Cannabis where the quantities exceeded 1 gramme or 5 tubes or 5 rolls respectively.	74
4.6 Bail Amounts For the Possession Of One piece of Offensive Weapon.	76
4.7 Bail Amounts where More Than One Piece Of Offensive Weapon Is Involved.	76
4.8 Bail Amounts For The Offence Of Theft According To The Value Of The Property Involved.	78
4.9 Bail Amounts By Charge	78
4.10 Median Amount Of Bail Set For All Offences	79
4.11 Percentage Of Defendants Posting Bail By Amounts	80
4.12A Percentage of Accused Persons Who Pleaded Guilty Finding Sureties By Bail Amounts	81

<u>Table</u>		Page
4.12B	Percentage Of Accused Persons Who Claimed Trial Finding Sureties By Bail Amounts.	82
4.13	The Ability To Find Sureties For All Categories Of Offences.	83
4.14	Previous Convictions And The Ability To Find Sureties.	85
4.15	The Relationship Between Custody Prior To The First Court Appearance And The Ability To Find Sureties.	86
4.16	Delay In Finding Sureties For All Offences.	87
5.1	The Extent Of Detention Under S.117.	89
5.2	Relationship Between Custody Prior To The First Court Appearance And Guilty Pleas.	90
5.3	The Length Of Time In Remand For Those Who Plead Guilty.	91
5.4	The Length Of Time In Remand For Those Who Claimed Trial.	93
5.5	Relationship Between Custody And Type Of Sentence Where Accused Had Plead Guilty To Theft Offences.	94
5.6A	Relationship Between Custody And Finding Of Guilt Or Innocence Where The Accused Had Claimed Trial To Theft.	95
5.6B	Relationship Between Custody And The Type Of Sentence Where The Accused Had Claimed Trial To Theft.	96
5.7A	Relationship Between Custody And The Type Of Sentence Where The Accused Plead Guilty	97
5.7B	Relationship Between Custody And Finding Of Guilt Or Innocence For All Offences	99
5.8	Custody And The Ability To Pay Fines	100

LIST OF CASES

*Paper*

1. Escobedo v State (1964) 378 U.S.478
2. Everett v Ribbands (1952) 1 All. E.R.823
3. Shaaban & Ors. v Chong Fook Kam (1969) 2 M.L.J. p.219
4. Tan Kay Teck & Anor. v The Attorney-General (1947)  
M.L.J. p.237
5. Lewie v Tims (1952)A.C. p.676
6. Hitam v P.P. (1963) M.L.J. 224
7. P.P. v Thui Kuan Wing (1963) M.L.J. 368
8. Periannan & Ors. v P.P. (1954) M.L.J. 236
9. Chew Yoke Kens v P.P. (1954) M.L.J. p.158
10. Sitao Jholia v Emperor A.I.R. (1943) Nag. 36
11. Salig Ram v Emperor A.I.R. (1943) Allah. 26
12. The Crown v Makhan Lal, 48 Cr. L.J. 656
13. Reg. v Lim Kwang Seng (1956) M.L.J. p.178
14. Rex v Ganda Singh & Ors., 1950 Allah. 525
15. Re K.S. Menon (1946) 12 M.L.J. 49
16. R v Ooi Ah Kow (1952) 18 M.L.J. 95
17. R v Chan Choon Weng & Ors. (1956) 22 M.L.J. 81
18. Shanmugam v P.P. (1971) 1 M.L.J. 283
19. King-Emperor v Nga San Htwa, I.C.R. 5 Pang. 276
20. Jamini Mullick v Emperor, 36 Cal. 74
21. Adat b. Taib v P.P. (1959) 25 M.L.J. 245
22. P.P. v Latchemy (1967) 2 M.L.J. 79
23. K.Bomanji Wookerji v State of Mysore, 1955 Cr.L.J. 973

LIST OF CASES

*Paper*

1. Escobedo v State (1964) 378 U.S.478
2. Everett v Ribbands (1952) 1 All. E.R.823
3. Shaaban & Ors. v Chong Fook Kam (1969) 2 M.L.J. p.219
4. Tan Kay Teck & Anor. v The Attorney-General (1947)  
M.L.J. p.237
5. Lewie v Tims (1952)A.C. p.676
6. Hitam v P.P. (1963) M.L.J. 224
7. P.P. v Thui Kuan Wing (1963) M.L.J. 368
8. Periannan & Ors. v P.P. (1954) M.L.J. 236
9. Chew Yoke Kens v P.P. (1954) M.L.J. p.158
10. Sitao Jholia v Emperor A.I.R. (1943) Nag. 36
11. Salig Ram v Emperor A.I.R. (1943) Allah. 26
12. The Crown v Makhan Lal, 48 Cr. L.J. 656
13. Reg. v Lim Kwang Seng (1956) M.L.J. p.178
14. Rex v Ganda Singh & Ors., 1950 Allah. 525
15. Re K.S. Menon (1946) 12 M.L.J. 49
16. R v Ooi Ah Kow (1952) 18 M.L.J. 95
17. R v Chan Choon Weng & Ors. (1956) 22 M.L.J. 81
18. Shanmugam v P.P. (1971) 1 M.L.J. 283
19. King-Emperor v Nga San Htwa, I.C.R. 5 Pang. 276
20. Jamini Mullick v Emperor, 36 Cal. 74
21. Adat b. Taib v P.P. (1959) 25 M.L.J. 245
22. P.P. v Latchemy (1967) 2 M.L.J. 79
23. K.Bomanji Wookerji v State of Mysore, 1955 Cr.L.J. 973

24. Bashiruddin v Emperor, A.I.R. (1932) Allah. 327
25. Talals Haji Hussain v Madhukar Purshottar,  
A.I.R. (1958) S.C. 376
26. Sulaiman b. Kadir v P.P. (unreported) Criminal  
Application No.25/75
27. King Emperor v Joglekar, I.C. R. 54 Allah. 715
28. P.P. v Wee Swee Siang (1948) 14 M.L.J. 153
29. R v Tan Tee (1948) M.L.J. 153
30. Re Robinson (1854) 23 L.J.Q.B. 286
31. R v Phillips (1947) 32, Cr. App. R. p.41
32. R v Wharton (1953) L.R. 565
33. P v Gentry (1955) 39 Cr. App. R. 195
34. R v Pegg (1955) Crim. L.R. 308
35. Rubinstein v Mulcahy, 155 F. 2d. 1002
36. Williamson v United States 184 F. 2d. 280
37. A.G. v Ball (1958) I.R. 280
38. P.P. v Mat Zain (1948) M.L.J. Supp. 142
39. M.P. Sharma & Ors. v State 1954 S.C. 300
40. State v Nathnail 1952 Raj. 156
41. Muzafaruddin v State of Hyderabad A.I.R. 1953 Hyd. 219
42. Zahural Haque v State 1950 Madh. B. p.17
43. Jairam Das v Emperor, 46 Cr. L.J. 662
44. Consolidated Exploration & Finance v Musgrave  
(1900) 1 Ch. D. 37
45. Jones v Orchard, 15 Q.B.D. 561
46. R v Porter (1908-10) All. E.R. Rep. 78



47. R v Broome & Ors. 18 L.J. (1851) p.19
48. Bhupati Chandra Nandi v Golam Ehihar Chondhury of Salka A.I.R. (1920) Cal. 498
49. Prosanna Kumar Chakeravaty v Prokash Chandra Dutt, 28 I.C. 560
50. R v Rose (1898) 18 Cox 717
51. R v Toynbee Hall Juvenile Court Justices (1939) 3 All. E.R. p.16
52. Ex parte Muirhead (1942) 106 J.P. p.4
53. Iqbal Singh v State A.I.R. (1960) Punj. 572
54. In re Daulat Singh, 14 Allah. 45
55. Abdul Ghani v Emperor, 48 Cr. L.J. 773
56. Gopi Khatik v Emperor, A.I.R. 1922 Allah. 541